OSA Pathway Implementation: How to Measure Success Mayo Clinic Experience

Timothy Morgenthaler, MD, FAASM Professor Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine Chief Patien Safety Officer Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN

Bhargavi Gali, MD. Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine Division of Critical Care Mayo Clinic, Rochester MN

Disclosures

We have no relevant financial disclosures

Objectives

- Discuss creation and implementation of perioperative OSA patients at a single tertiary care center and spread to an enterprise
- Discuss lessons learned during this period
- Describe practice changes based on this process

What was our Driving Factor?

- Mortalities as well as morbidities in practice and reported in literature presumed OSArelated
- How do we make our environment safer?
- What criteria do we use to assess patients?















PAP naïve patient in perioperative period

- We learned that nobody owned it....
- Patients don't like it
- Not innocuous
 - Bloating
 - Balancing competing priorities
 - · Effect on sleep not certain
- Unlikely to be adherent without dedicated "team" approach and nearly direct supervision
- Reserve for very high risk with monitoring, or high risk with lots of attendance

"Dream Team"

- Increased variety of PAP interfaces
- Dedicated "expert" RT for fitting, education, introduction
- Close monitoring of adherence with feedback



Albert Bierstadt - The Wild West

Physician uses CPOE to plac are directed to the new PCA of	e post-op orders, they orders
Post-Operative intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia (PCA)	Allergies MUS I be reviewed: Additional Information
(MC-1100-000m) Deduce amply to curnical estimate [10 years of any and alder]. Deeps been	d as seenal seenal and benakis frankiss
ALEDT	o on normal renal and nepatic function.
ALER I Order set NOT to be used if nationt has received intratheral opinid in is	ction within 24 hours or has current enidural
infusion running or receiving local association infusion. Consider august	no Marchine for following patients: less than
50 kp. 70 years of ane and older, or estimated CrCL less than or equal b	n 30 ml/minute
Consider starting at Level 2 for patients less than 70 years and weighin	o oreater than 501 ko
Discontinue all IV. IM. or subcutaneous opioid orders except those conta	ined within this order set.
OPIOID CHOICE AND START LEVEL: "May Select Only One"	
Detail T Morphine IV PCA Start Level 1; 2 mg loading dose if criteria apply	
Detail [: Morphine IV PCA Start Level 2; 3 mg loading dose if criteria apply	Select mediaction and starting lovel
Detail 🗆 : Hydromorphone IV PCA Start Level 1: 0.2 mg loading dose if criteria ap	Select medication and starting level
Detail 🗆 : Hydromorphone IV PCA Start Level 2; 0.4 mg loading dose if criteria ap	
Detail 🗏 : Fentanyl IV PCA Start Level 1: 25 mcg loading dose if criteria apply	See Alert section for guidance
Detail 🗆 : Fentanyl IV PCA Start Level 2; 50 mcg loading dose if criteria apply	
REVERSAL AGENT:	
Detail 🔽 : Naloxone (NARCAN) 8.2 mg IV AS DIRECT prn	
MONITORING: **Must Select Only One**	
Detail 🔽 : Pulse Oximetry required while IV PCA in use CONT	
Detail 🔲 : Pulse Oximetry w/ telemetric monitoring for patients with BMI 35 or gr	eater

Less than 1 week ago....

Mayo completes Epic transition with Arizona, Florida go-lives Written by Julie Spitzer / October 09, 2018 | Print | Email

In source Rochester, Minn-based Mayo Clinic completed its "Plummer Project," the name given to its systemwide rollout of a new Epic EHR, the health system confirmed Oct. 8. y Tweet

The final three sites — Mayo's campuses in Jacksonville, Fila, and Phoenix and Scottsdale, Ariz. went live on Epic Oct. 6, placing all Mayo locations on a single integrated EHR and revenue cycle management system. About 52,000 Mayo employees are using Epic in 90 hospitals and clinics throughout the health system.

Mayo Clinic began its transition to Epic at its Wisconsin locations in July 2017, followed by some of its Minnesota locations in November 2017. Its headquarters in Rochester, Minn., implemented the EHR in May.

"The commitment and expertise of outstanding Mayo stall, Epic colleagues and implementation partners brought us to this day," said Richard Gray, MD, co-chair of the initiative. "We envision even greater collaboration among experts in delivering the patient care, research and education that are hallmarks of Mayo."

Beckers Hospital Review Oct 9, 2018





















Perioperative

- · How to identify patients
- What preoperative screening tool
- · What changes in management

Sleep Apnea Clinical Score

- 1. Do you have high blood pressure or have you been told to take medication for high blood pressure? $\hfill Yes \Box$ No
- People who have shared (or are sharing) my bedroom tell me that
 I snore. Please pick the best response for the frequency of your snoring:
 □ Usually (3-5 times/week) [= 1 "Historical Feature"]
 □ Always (every night) [= 1 "Historical Feature"]
- 4. Neck measurement. (We will measure you.) ____ cm
- Prediction of OSA based on linear regression model utilizing above factors: Low = Sleep Apnea Clinical Score <15 High = Sleep Apnea Clinical Score ≥15

Flemons et al, Am J Resp Crit Care Med 1994;150:1279-1285

Evaluation Period

PACU Evaluation

Bradypnea: < 8 respirations/minute (3 episodes needed for yes)	Initial 30 min. after extubation or	2 nd 30 min. after	3 rd 30 min. after
Apnea: ≥ 10 seconds	PACU admit	(60 min after	2 nd eval.
(only 1 episode needed for yes)	(whichever	extubation or	(90 min after
Desaturations: Pulse Ox <90% with nasal cannula (3 episodes needed for yes)	occurs later)	PACU admit)	extubation or PACU admit)
Pain/Sedation mismatch: RASS score -3 thru -5 <u>and</u> Pain scale score > 5 (only 1 episode needed for yes)			
RASS = Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale Pain Score Recurrent events: if any event occurs at more than one of	= Visual Analog S eval period (not ne	core ecessary to be san	ne event)











Study Results

- Utilization of preoperative screening with SACS, and recurrent PACU respiratory events most useful
- Two phase process identified patients higher risk for perioperative respiratory desaturations and complications

Gali B et al Anesthesiology. 2009; 110

Translation to Practice

- Stepwise implementation
 - PACU assessments
 - Pre-operative screening
 - PACU nurse initiated protocol

Stakeholders

- PACU nursing
- Floor nursing
- Surgeons
- Anesthesiologists
- Sleep physicians

Known OSA	No	
High Blood Pressure	No	
Frequency of Snoring	Occasional	
Gasp/Choke/Snort	Never	
NeckMeasurement(cm)	35	
OSA Total Score	1	
OSA Total Score Probability of OSA	1 Low	
OSA Total Score Probability of OSA roche Skep Apres (OSA) Clascal Score Reference Link	Low	
OSA Total Score Probability of OSA nucleo Silve Agence (OSA) Classical Score Interference Link an Obstructive Silve Agence 7	1 Low	Pul OSA Score Clear OSA score
OSA Total Score Probability of OSA Techne Sheep Append (OSA Calical Score Reference Link en Obstructive Sheep Append? The patient have high blood pressure or have they been total to tak taken for high blood pressure?	1 Low	* Pul OSA Scove Oker OSA koove Total sleep aprea clinical score: 1
OSA Total Score Probability of OSA nucles Skep Apres (054) Chical Score Reference Link en Obstructive Skep Apres Contractive Skep Apres C	1 Low	Pal OSA Sonre CHAP OSA Nonre Total skeep apnea clinical score: [OSA nonre puiled from MCS OF-Jun 2016 07:10
OSA Total Score Probability of OSA ructive Skey Apasa (05A) (Inical Score In Obstructive Skey Apasa (05A) (Inical Score In Obstructive Skey Apasa Inication for the statement of the patient's bedroom toll the patient have been told by other people that they passe;	1 Low	Put 05A Score Celler 05A Accore Total sleep apnea clinical score Col. Accore patient from BICS 09-Jun. 2016 07/10 Probability of Skep Apnea



/ Assessment	1st Assess	٧
d Assessment	2nd Assess	
i Assessment	3rd Assess	
Assessment	4th Assess	
Hypoventilation (>= 8 respirations/minute)	No Hypoventilati	٧
isodes of Hypoventilation (3 episodes of < 8 respirations/minute)	EpisoHypoventila	
Apnea	No Apnea	٧
isodes of Apnea (1 episode of apnea for > 10 Seconds)	EpisodesApnea	
Desaturations (SpO2 > 90%)	No Desats	1
isodes of Desaturation (3 episodes of SpO2 < 90% or < Pre-op saturation with or without oxygen)	EpisodesDesats	
Pain/Sedation mismatch	NoPain/Sedat/lism	١
isodes of Pain/Sedation mismatch (RASS -2 to -5: Pain Score >5)	Episodel/lismatch	
esthesia notified	AnesNotified	

Implementation Issues

- Adding work to preoperative process
- Education of involved care teams
- Adherence to protocol

Automated Vs Manual Pulse Oximetry

- 5 patient units
- Compared manual oximetry data to automated continuous oximetry
- Manual data higher oxygen saturations (6.5%)
- Continuous electronic monitoring more effective

Taenzer A et al. Anes Analg 2014;118:326-331

Remotely monitored oximetry

- Limited availability
- Expand units
- Expand resources











Unanticipated Issues

- Who would perform pre-screening
- Floor nursing
- Anesthesiologists



How to Ensure Continuity

- Sleep physicians not available in hospital
- Inability to address need for follow-up on weekends
- Now pager/consult accessible







What about Initiation of CPAP from preop assessment?

- High risk patients offered PAP preop
 - 431 referred, 211 underwent PSG
 Mean adherence 2.5 hrs/night
- Low interest in pursuing PSGLow adherence

Guralnick AS et al. JCSM 2012;8(5):501-506

Postoperative PAP Initiation

High risk

- Standard care vs initiation auto-titrating PAP (APAP):43 each group
- No benefit in postoperative complications
- Multiple obstacles to adherence
- Poor enrollment

O'Gorman SM et al. Chest 2013;144(1):72-78

Alternatives to PAP

- Nasal high flow therapy
 - Single blinded randomized
 - Recruitment preoperative clinic
 - Nasal high flow 30 LPM vs 1 LPM
 - 42 completed
 - ODI 4% higher in nasal high flow
 - Median 7.4 (IQR 3.5-13.3) vs 3.1 (IQR 1.5-6.7)

Selim B et al Chest 2018

Assessing Impact

- Pre Implementation
 2003-2007
- Post Implementation
 - 2008
 - Stepwise changes following this
- Codes, RRTs, transfers to ICU



What have we found?

- Retrospective
 - Patients high risk
 - Utilization of RRT
 - Admission to ICU from floor

















Further Assessment of Initial Results

- Did use of increased monitoring lead to increased RRT/ICU transfer?
- What is our success rate with use of CPAP?
- Has our education led to changes in views of perioperative management?

Future Assessment

- Is this cost effective?
- How well do we comply with protocols?
- What happens when patients are missed?
- Outcomes in high risk sedation areas